arXiv:1102.5011v5 [math.CV] 4 Oct 2012

COMMUTATION RELATIONS AND HYPERCYCLIC OPERATORS

VITALY E. KIM

Abstract

In this paper we establish hypercyclicity of continuous linear operators on $H(\mathbb{C})$ that satisfy certain commutation relations.

1 Introduction and statement of the main results

Let X be a topological vector space. A continuous linear operator $T : X \to X$ is said to be *hypercyclic* if there exists an element $x \in X$ such that it's orbit $\mathrm{Orb}(T, x) = \{T^n x,\ n = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$ is dense in X. Let us denote by $H(\mathbb{C})$ the space of all entire functions with the uniform convergence topology. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ we will denote by S_λ the translation operator on $H(\mathbb{C})$: $S_\lambda f(z) \equiv f(z + \lambda)$. The first examples of hypercyclic operators are due to G. D. Birkhoff and G. MacLane. In 1929, Birkhoff [4] showed that the translation operator S_λ is hypercyclic on $H(\mathbb{C})$ if $\lambda \neq 0$. In 1952, MacLane [14] proved that the differentiation operator is hypercyclic on $H(\mathbb{C})$. A significant generalization of these results was proved by G. Godefroy and J. H. Shapiro [8] in 1991. They showed that every convolution operator on $H(\mathbb{C}^N)$ that is not a scalar multiple of the identity is hypercyclic. Recall that a convolution operator on $H(\mathbb{C}^N)$ is a continuous linear operator that commutes with all translations (or equivalently, commutes with each partial differentiation operator).

Some classes of non-convolution hypercyclic operators on $H(\mathbb{C})$ (or $H(\mathbb{C}^N)$) can be found in [1], [6], [15], [3], [12]. More information on hypercyclic operators can be found in [9], [2], [10].

In the present paper we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $T : H(\mathbb{C}) \to H(\mathbb{C})$ be a continuous linear operator that satisfies the following conditions:*

1. $\ker T \neq \{0\}$*;*

2. *T satisfies the commutation relation:*

$$[T, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}] = aI, \tag{1}$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is some constant and I is identity operator.

Then T is hypercyclic.

Remark 1.2. *In Theorem 1.1 we consider a constant $a \neq 0$. Note that if $a = 0$ in (1) then T is a convolution operator on $H(\mathbb{C})$ that is hypercyclic by a Theorem of Godefroy and Shapiro [8].*

We also prove the following more general result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $T : H(\mathbb{C}) \to H(\mathbb{C})$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.1 and let L be an entire function such that $L \not\equiv \mathrm{const}$ and $L(T)$ is a continuous operator from $H(\mathbb{C})$ to itself. Then $L(T)$ is hypercyclic.*

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we formulate and prove a theorem that establishes the completeness of translates of certain entire functions. In Section 3 we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 by application of the results of Section 2 and the Godefroy-Shapiro criterion. In Section 4 we give a description of operators that are hypercyclic by Theorem 1.1 or 1.3 and provide some examples.

2 Complete systems of translates of entire functions

Recall that the system of entire functions $\{f_\lambda(z), \lambda \in \Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}\}$ is called complete in $H(\mathbb{C})$ if $\overline{\mathrm{span}\{f_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda\}} = H(\mathbb{C})$. The connection between complete systems of entire functions and hypercyclic operators on $H(\mathbb{C})$ is established by the Godefroy-Shapiro criterion which was exhibited by G. Godefroy and J. H. Shapiro in [8] (see also e.g. [2, Ch. 1]):

Theorem 2.1 (Godefroy-Shapiro criterion)**.** *Let T be a continuous linear operator on $H(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose that there is a system of entire functions $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}}$ such that:*

1. *$T[f_\lambda] = \lambda f_\lambda$, $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$;*

2. both systems $\{f_\lambda,\ \lambda\in\mathbb{C}:|\lambda|<1\}$ *and* $\{f_\lambda,\ \lambda\in\mathbb{C}:|\lambda|>1\}$ *are complete in* $H(\mathbb{C})$*.*

Then T *is hypercyclic*

In this section we prove the following result.

Theorem 2.2. *Let* $T:H(\mathbb{C})\to H(\mathbb{C})$ *satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.1 for some* $a\neq 0$*. Then the system* $\{S_\lambda f\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ *is complete in* $H(\mathbb{C})$ *for any function* $f\in\ker T\setminus\{0\}$ *and any set* $\Lambda\subset\mathbb{C}$ *that has an accumulation point in* $\mathbb{C}$*.*

Proof. Let T satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.1. Then there is an entire function f such that $f\in\ker T$ and $f\not\equiv 0$. From (1) it follows that

$$[T,\frac{\mathrm{d}^n}{\mathrm{d}z^n}]=an\frac{\mathrm{d}^{n-1}}{\mathrm{d}z^{n-1}},\ n=0,1,...$$

(see e.g. [5, §16]). Hence,

$$Tf^{(n)}=anf^{(n-1)},\ n=0,1,... \tag{2}$$

Recall that every convolution operator M_L on $H(\mathbb{C})$ can be represented as a linear differential operator of, generally speaking, infinite order with constant coefficients:

$$M_L[f](z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}d_kf^{(k)}(z), \tag{3}$$

where $L(\lambda)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}d_k\lambda^k$ is an entire function of exponential type. The function L is called a characteristic function of the convolution operator (3). A convolution operator (3) can be also represented in the form

$$M_L[f](\lambda)=(F_L,S_\lambda f), \tag{4}$$

where F_L is a continuous linear functional on $H(\mathbb{C})$ such that $(F_L,\exp(\lambda z))=L(\lambda)$ (see e.g. [13]).

Let $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be the set of zeros of L. Denote by m_j the multiplicity of zero λ_j. Then $\ker M_L$ contains exponential monomials $z^b\exp(\lambda_jz)$, $b=0,1,...,m_j-1$, $j\in\mathbb{N}$ and does not contain any other exponential monomials. In particular, $\ker M_L$ does not contain any exponential monomial of the kind $z^p \exp(\mu z)$ if $L(\mu) \neq 0$. By the result of L. Schwartz [16], every differentiation-invariant closed linear subspace V of $H(\mathbb{C})$ coincides with the closure of the linear span of exponential monomials that are contained in V. Hence,

$$\ker M_L = \overline{\text{span}\{z^b \exp(\lambda_j z),\ j \in \mathbb{N},\ b = 0, 1, ..., m_j - 1\}}.$$

Denote by $\text{Conv}[H(\mathbb{C})]$ the class of all convolution operators (4) on $H(\mathbb{C})$ except the operator of multiplication by zero.

Let Λ be an arbitrary subset of $\mathbb{C}$ that has an accumulation point in $\mathbb{C}$. In order to prove that the system $\{S_\lambda f\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is complete in $H(\mathbb{C})$, it is enough to prove that $f \notin \ker M$ for any $M \in \text{Conv}[H(\mathbb{C})]$. Indeed, if the system $\{S_\lambda f\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is not complete in $H(\mathbb{C})$, then according to the Approximation Principle (see e.g. [7, Ch. 2]) there is a non-zero continuous linear functional F on $H(\mathbb{C})$ such that $(F, S_\lambda f) = 0$, $\forall\, \lambda \in \Lambda$ and hence, $f \in \ker M$ for some $M \in \text{Conv}[H(\mathbb{C})]$.

Assume that $f \in \ker M_\Phi$ for some $M_\Phi \in \text{Conv}[H(\mathbb{C})]$ with the characteristic function $\Phi(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k \lambda^k$, i.e.

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k f^{(k)}(z) \equiv 0.$$

Then, obviously, $T^n M_\Phi f = 0$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using (2) we obtain

$$T^n M_\Phi[f](z) \equiv a^n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_k \frac{k!}{(k-n)!} f^{(k-n)}(z).$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_k \frac{k!}{(k-n)!} f^{(k-n)}(z) \equiv 0,\ n = 0, 1, \cdots . \tag{5}$$

We see that f satisfies the infinite system of convolution equations (5) with the characteristic functions $\Phi^{(n)}$, $n = 0, 1, \cdots$. Let us note that $\Phi \not\equiv 0$, since by assumption $M_\Phi \in \text{Conv}[H(\mathbb{C})]$.

Denote $W = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \ker M_{\Phi^{(n)}}$. It is easy to see that W is differentiation-invariant closed linear subspace of $H(\mathbb{C})$. Assume that W contains some exponential monomial of the kind $z^p \exp(\mu z)$. Then $\Phi^{(n)}(\mu) = 0$, $n = 0, 1, \cdots$. But this is impossible, since by assumption $\Phi \not\equiv 0$. Hence, W does not contain any functions of the kind $z^p \exp(\mu z)$. Then, by the result of L. Schwartz [16], W is trivial, i.e. $W = \{0\}$. We have a contradiciton to the fact that $f \in W$ and $f \not\equiv 0$. Hence, $f \notin \ker M_\Phi$. $\square$

Remark 2.3. *In the proof of Theorem 2.2 we use the result of L. Schwartz [16] on spectral synthesis in* $H(\mathbb{C})$. *But we cannot prove the analogue of Theorem 2.2 for* $H(\mathbb{C}^N)$ $(N > 1)$ *using the same method because there is a conter-example on spectral synthesis in* $H(\mathbb{C}^N)$ *by D. Gurevich [11]. In particular Gurevich showed that there is a non-trivial differentiation-invariant subspace* V *in* $H(\mathbb{C}^N)$ *that does not contain any exponential monomial. We are going to discuss the analogues of Theorems 2.2, 1.1 and 1.3 for* $H(\mathbb{C}^N)$ *in one of the next papers.*

3 Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3

It is enough to prove Theorem 1.3, since Theorem 1.1 is a special case of Theorem 1.3, when $L(z) \equiv z$. Let $T : H(\mathbb{C}) \to H(\mathbb{C})$ and $L \in H(\mathbb{C})$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.3. Let $f \in \ker T \setminus \{0\}$. Using the Taylor expansion we can write:

$$S_\lambda f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{n!}\lambda^n.$$

Then from (2) it follows that

$$TS_\lambda f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T[f^{(n)}(z)]}{n!}\lambda^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{anf^{(n-1)}(z)}{n!}\lambda^n = a\lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{n!}\lambda^n.$$

Hence, $TS_\lambda f = a\lambda S_\lambda f$ and $L(T)f = L_a(\lambda)S_\lambda f$, $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, where $L_a(\lambda) \equiv L(a\lambda)$. Since $L_a \not\equiv \text{const}$, then both sets $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |L_a(\lambda)| < 1\}$ and $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |L_a(\lambda)| > 1\}$ are non-empty open subsets of $\mathbb{C}$. Hence, $L(T)$ is hypercyclic by Theorems 2.2 and 2.1.

4 Operators that satisfy Theorem 1.1 or 1.3

In this section we give the examples of linear continuous operators on $H(\mathbb{C})$ which are hypercyclic by Theorem 1.1 or 1.3. First, we shall describe the class of linear continuous operators on $H(\mathbb{C})$ that satisfy the condition 2 of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.1. *A continuous linear operator* $T : H(\mathbb{C}) \to H(\mathbb{C})$ *satisfies the commutation relations (1) if and only if* $T = M - azI$*, where* M *is a convolution operator (3) on* $H(\mathbb{C})$*.*

Proof. 1) Let $T = M - azI$, where M is an arbitrary convolution operator (3) on $H(\mathbb{C})$. Then we have $[T, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}] = [M, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}] - [azI, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}] = aI$.

2) Let T satisfies the commutation relation (1), i.e. $[T, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}] = aI$. Let us define the operator $T_0 = -azI$. Then $[T_0, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}] = aI$. Denote $M = T - T_0$. Then $[M, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}] = [T - T_0, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}] = 0$. Hence, M is a convolution operator on $H(\mathbb{C})$, and $T = T_0 + M = M - azI$. ☐

Let $T = M - azI$, where M is a convolution operator. Then T is hypercyclic by Theorems 1.1 and 4.1 if there exists an entire function f such that $f \not\equiv 0$ and $f \in \ker T$. Here we provide some examples of such functions and corresponding hypercyclic operators.

Example a) Let $T = \frac{d}{dz} - zI$. If $f(z) = Ce^{z^2/2}$, where C is some constant, then $f \in \ker T$. Hence, T is hypercyclic by Theorem 1.1. Consider the operator

$$L(T) = \frac{d^2}{dz^2} + (2z - 1)\frac{d}{dz} + (z^2 - z - 1)I, \qquad (6)$$

where $L(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + \lambda$. Then the operator (6) is hypercyclic by Theorem 1.3.

b) Let $T = \frac{d^2}{dz^2} - zI$. If $f(z) = C_1\mathrm{Ai}(z) + C_2\mathrm{Bi}(z)$, where C_1, C_2 are some constants, and Ai, Bi are Airy functions (see e.g. [17]), then $f \in \ker T$. Hence, T is hypercyclic by Theorem 1.1.

Now we will describe the class of hypercyclic differential operators of infinite order with polynomial coefficients. This class contains as a partial case all convolution operators on $H(\mathbb{C})$ that are not scalar multiples of the identity.

Theorem 4.2. *Let* $T = \frac{d}{dz} - azI$*, where* $a \in \mathbb{C}$ *is some constant. Let* $L(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k \lambda^k$ *be an arbitrary entire function of exponential type such that* $L \not\equiv \mathrm{const}$*. Then the operator*

$$L(T) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k T^k$$

is hypercyclic operator on $H(\mathbb{C})$*.*

Proof. Let's show that $L(T)f \in H(\mathbb{C})$, $\forall f \in H(\mathbb{C})$. We can represent f in the form $f(z) = e^{az^2/2}g(z)$. Then $T^k f(z) = e^{az^2/2}g^{(k)}(z)$, $k = 0, 1, \cdots$. Hence, $L(T)f(z) = e^{az^2/2}M_L g(z) \in H(\mathbb{C})$, where M_L is a convolution operator on $H(\mathbb{C})$ generated by L. Since T satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.1, then $L(T)$ is hypercyclic by Theorem 1.3. ☐

References

[1] R. Aron, D. Markose, *On universal functions.* J. Korean Math. Soc. **41**:1 (2004), 65–76.

[2] F. Bayart, E. Matheron, *Dynamics of linear operators.* Cambridge University Press, 2009.

[3] J. J. Betancor, M. Sifi, K. Trimeche, *Hypercyclic and chaotic convolution operators associated with the Dunkl operators on* $\mathbb{C}$. Acta Math. Hungar. **106** (2005), 101–116.

[4] G. D. Birkhoff, *Demonstration d'un theoreme elementaire sur les fonctions entieres.* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **189** (1929), 473–475.

[5] L. D. Faddeev, O. A. Yakubovskii, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics for Mathematics Students.* AMS, 2009.

[6] G. Fernandez, A. A. Hallack, *Remarks on a result about hypercyclic non-convolution operators.* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **309**:1 (2005), 52–55.

[7] R. E. Edwards, *Functional analysis: theory and applications.* Dover Publications, 1995.

[8] G. Godefroy, J. H. Shapiro, *Operators with dense, invariant, cyclic vector manifolds.* J. Funct. Anal. **98**:2 (1991), 229–269.

[9] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, *Universal families and hypercyclic operators.* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **36**:3 (1999), 345–381.

[10] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, A. Peris Manguillot, *Linear chaos.* Springer, 2011.

[11] D. I. Gurevich, *Counterexamples to a problem of L. Schwartz* (in Russian). Funkts. Anal. Prilozh. **9**:2 (1975), 29–35 [English translation: Funct. Anal. Appl. **9**:2 (1975), 116–120].

[12] V. E. Kim, *Hypercyclicity and chaotic character of generalized convolution operators generated by Gelfond-Leontev operators* (in Russian). Mat. Zametki **85**:6 (2009), 849-856 [English translation: Math. Notes **85**:6 (2009), 807–813].

[13] A. S. Krivosheev, V. V. Napalkov, *Complex analysis and convolution operators* (in Russian). Usp. Mat. Nauk **47**:6 (1992), 3–58 [English translation: Russ. Math. Surv. **47**:6 (1992), 1–56].

[14] G. MacLane, *Sequences of derivatives and normal families.* J. Anal. Math. **2** (1952), 72–87.

[15] H. Petersson, *Supercyclic and hypercyclic non-convolution operators.* J. Operator Theory **55**:1 (2006), 133–151.

[16] L. Schwartz, *Theorie generale des fonctions moyenne-periodique.* Ann. Math. **48**:4 (1947), 867–929.

[17] O. Vallee, M. Soares, *Airy functions and applications to physics.* Imperial College Press, 2004.

Vitaly E. Kim
Institute of Mathematics with Computing Centre,
Russian Academy of Sciences,
112 Chernyshevsky str.,
450008 Ufa, Russia
e-mail: kim@matem.anrb.ru